# Novel *p*-wave pairing with ultra-cold electric and magnetic dipolar fermions

### Congjun Wu, University of California, San Diego

Collaborators: Yi Li, J. Hirsch (UCSD)

Ref.

- 1. C. Wu and J. E. Hirsch, PRB 81, 20508 (2010).
- 2. Yi Li, C. Wu, Scientific Report 2, 392 (2012) (arxiv:1005.0889).
- 3. Yi Li , C. Wu, PRB 85, 205126 (2012).

## Outline

- Difference between electric and magnetic dipolar interactions.
- Multi-component electric dipolar fermions: competition between triplet and singlet pairings.

Mixture between p-wave triplet and s+d single parings  $\rightarrow$ Time-reversal symmetry breaking

• Magnetic dipolar fermion systems: p-wave spin triplet with total angular momentum 1 (L=S=J=1)

A new pairing symmetry, not another He3.

• Fermi liquid: a "topological" collective mode of Fermi surface oscillation.

#### **Dipolar interactions**

• Electric dipolar interaction is the leading order interaction in charge neutral systems.

• Electrons carry spins, thus magnetic dipolar interaction is actually one part of fundament interactions among electrons.

$$p \approx ea_{B} \quad E_{el} = \frac{p^{2}}{d^{3}} = Ry(\frac{a_{B}}{d})^{3} \quad E_{m} = \frac{\mu_{B}^{2}}{d^{3}} = Ry\frac{a_{B}\lambda_{cmptn}^{2}}{d^{3}} = \alpha^{2}E_{el}$$

• Unlike Coulomb interaction, dipolar interactions are anisotropic if dipoles are aligned.

• Dipolar interactions are not purely repulsive, not purely attractive  $\rightarrow$  both interesting pairing and zero sound modes.

#### **Classic dipoles**

• Ferro-fluid: iron powders in oil.





• Quantum dipolar Fermi gases may be easier to handle because most degrees of freedom are blocked by Fermi surfaces.

## Difference between electric and magnetic ones

• Electric dipole moments are non-quantized vectors.

#### not permanent





- Although at each instant time, there is a dipolemoment, it is NOT an angular momentum eigenstate, and thus averages to zero.
  - So far, no permanent electric-dipole moments are found.
- Need external E fields to induce electric dipole moments.

Electric dipolar gas: <sup>40</sup>K<sup>87</sup>Rb polar molecules [Ni et. al, 2008, etc ...] M. A. Baranov, M. Dalmonte, G. Pupillo, P. Zoller, arxiv:1207.1914.

## Anisotropic interaction between electric dipoles

• A nice anisotropy: second Legendre polynomial; quasi-long range.

$$V(\vec{r}) = -\frac{d^2}{r^3} P_2(\cos\theta) \qquad \qquad \theta = \pm \frac{\pi}{2} \qquad \theta = 0, \pi$$

$$(\vec{r}) = -\frac{d^2}{r^3} P_2(\cos\theta) \qquad \qquad \psi = 0, \pi$$

$$(\vec{r}) = -\frac{d^2}{r^3} P_2(\cos\theta) \qquad \qquad \psi = 0, \pi$$

$$(\vec{r}) = -\frac{d^2}{r^3} P_2(\cos\theta) \qquad \qquad \psi = 0, \pi$$

$$(\vec{r}) = -\frac{d^2}{r^3} P_2(\cos\theta) \qquad \qquad \psi = 0, \pi$$

$$(\vec{r}) = -\frac{d^2}{r^3} P_2(\cos\theta) \qquad \qquad \psi = 0, \pi$$

$$(\vec{r}) = -\frac{d^2}{r^3} P_2(\cos\theta) \qquad \qquad \psi = 0, \pi$$

$$(\vec{r}) = -\frac{d^2}{r^3} P_2(\cos\theta) \qquad \qquad \psi = 0, \pi$$

$$(\vec{r}) = -\frac{d^2}{r^3} P_2(\cos\theta) \qquad \qquad \psi = 0, \pi$$

$$(\vec{r}) = -\frac{d^2}{r^3} P_2(\cos\theta) \qquad \qquad \psi = 0, \pi$$

$$(\vec{r}) = -\frac{d^2}{r^3} P_2(\cos\theta) \qquad \qquad \psi = 0, \pi$$

$$(\vec{r}) = -\frac{d^2}{r^3} P_2(\cos\theta) \qquad \qquad \psi = 0, \pi$$

$$(\vec{r}) = -\frac{d^2}{r^3} P_2(\cos\theta) \qquad \qquad \psi = 0, \pi$$

$$(\vec{r}) = -\frac{d^2}{r^3} P_2(\cos\theta) \qquad \qquad \psi = 0, \pi$$

$$(\vec{r}) = -\frac{d^2}{r^3} P_2(\cos\theta) \qquad \qquad \psi = 0, \pi$$

$$(\vec{r}) = -\frac{d^2}{r^3} P_2(\cos\theta) \qquad \qquad \psi = 0, \pi$$

$$(\vec{r}) = -\frac{d^2}{r^3} P_2(\cos\theta) \qquad \qquad \psi = 0, \pi$$

$$(\vec{r}) = -\frac{d^2}{r^3} P_2(\cos\theta) \qquad \qquad \psi = 0, \pi$$

## • Anisotropic many-body physics: Fermi liquid theory and p-wave Cooper pairing.

anisotropic Fermi surface distortion; anisotropic collective sound modes etc

Baranov et al, PRA 66, 013606 (2002); PRL 92, 250403 (2004).

T. Miyakawa, H. Pu, S. Yi, PRA 77, 2008. Chan, Wu, Lee, Das Sarma, PRA 81, 023602 (2010).

#### Magnetic dipoles

• Magnetic dipole moments are **quantum**, loosely speaking, proportional to hyperfine spin operators.

#### **Permanent!**

$$\vec{m}_{\alpha\beta} = g\mu_{B}\vec{F}_{\alpha\beta}$$

- Already spin eigenstates.
- No external magnetic fields are needed.

Fermion: <sup>161</sup>Dy, <sup>163</sup>Dy nearly quantum degenerate region [Lu et. al, 2010] (large magnetic moments  $\sim 10 \mu_{\rm B}$ )

• However, the energy scale of magnetic dipolar interactions are much weaker.

## Spin-orbit (SO) coupled nature of the magnetic dipolar interaction

- Un-polarized magnetic dipolar fermions our interest!
- Isotropy: invariant under the simultaneous **SO rotation.**

$$V(\vec{r}) = \frac{(g\mu)^2}{r^3} [\vec{F}_1 \cdot \vec{F}_2 - 3(\vec{F}_1 \cdot \hat{r})(\vec{F}_2 \cdot \hat{r})]$$

• SO coupling at the interaction level, but not the single particle level!

1. SO coupled p-wave triplet unconventional cooper pairing, not another He-3.

2. SO coupled Fermi liquid collective excitations.

Magnetic dipolar gas: Fermion: <sup>161</sup>Dy, <sup>163</sup>Dy nearly quantum degenerate region [Lu et. al, 2010] (large magnetic moments ~ 10



#### Review: p-wave (L=1) triplet (S=1) Cooper pairing of He-3

• Isotropic B phase. Time-reversal (TR) symm. preserved; J-singlet.

Balian-Werthamer (1963)

L=1, S=1, J=L+S=0.

$$\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(p_x + ip_y\right)\left|\downarrow\downarrow\right\rangle + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(p_x - ip_y\right)\left|\uparrow\uparrow\right\rangle + p_z\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left|\uparrow\downarrow+\downarrow\uparrow\right\rangle\right)$$

• d-matrix for triplet Cooper pairing.

$$\Delta_{\alpha\beta}^{*}(\vec{k}) = \left\langle c_{\alpha}^{+}(\vec{k})c_{\beta}^{+}(-\vec{k}) \right\rangle = |\Delta| (i\sigma_{y}\sigma_{\mu})_{\alpha\beta} d_{\mu}(\vec{k})$$
$$= |\Delta| \begin{pmatrix} d_{x} + id_{y} & d_{z} \\ d_{z} & -d_{x} + id_{y} \end{pmatrix}$$



$$\hat{d}(\vec{k}) = \hat{k}$$

 Cooper pair spin fluctuates in the plane perpendicular to the dvector.

#### <u>Review: p-wave (L=1) triplet (S=1) Cooper pairing of He-3</u>

• Anisotropic A phase. TR symm. breaking; J not well-defined.

Anderson-Brinkman-Morel (1961)



## Outline

- Difference between electric and magnetic dipolar interactions.
- Multi-component electric dipolar fermions: competition between triplet and singlet pairings.

Mixture between p-wave triplet and s+d single parings  $\rightarrow$ Time-reversal symmetry breaking

• Magnetic dipolar fermion systems: p-wave spin triplet witht total angular momentum 1 (L=S=J=1)

A new pairing symmetry, not another He3.

• Fermi liquid: a "topological" collective mode of Fermi surface oscillation.

#### Single-componet electric dipolar fermions (pz pairing)

- Fermi surface uni-axial distotion.
- pz-wave-like pairing due to the anisotropy.

Baranov et al, PRA 66, 013606 (2002); PRL 92, 250403 (2004).

You et al 1999; G. M. Brunn 2008; Pu et al 2009.





 $\Delta(\vec{k}) = \left\langle c^{+}(\vec{k})c^{+}(-\vec{k})\right\rangle \approx |\Delta| k_{z}$ 

• Nodal plane:  $k_z = 0$ 

#### Triplet pairing from electric dipolar pairing

• **Multi-component** Fermi gases with electric dipolar interactions. Both triplet and singlet pairings are allowed.

Competition: triplet pz-channel (dominant) v.s. singlet s+d-wave channel (sub-dominant).

T. Shi et al, arxiv 0910.4051. C. Wu and J. E. Hirsch, PRB 81, 20508 (2010).

Mixing between triplet and singlet pairings leads to novel pairing breaking time reversal symmetry!

C. Wu and J. E. Hirsch, PRB 81, 20508 (2010).



$$p_{z}(|\uparrow\downarrow\rangle+|\downarrow\uparrow\rangle) + i(s+d_{r^{2}-3z^{2}})(|\uparrow\downarrow\rangle-|\downarrow\uparrow\rangle)$$

#### Fourier transformation of electric dipolar interactions

• Real space:

$$V(\vec{r}) = -\frac{d^2}{r^3} P_2(\cos\theta)$$
$$P_2(\cos\theta) = \frac{1}{2} (3\cos^2\theta - 1)$$



• Fourier transform: only depend on the direction of k with the same form of anisotropy.

#### Two-component electric dipolar fermions



$$V_{tri,sg}(\vec{k};\vec{k}') = \frac{1}{2} \{ V_{dplr}(\vec{k}-\vec{k}') \mp V_{dplr}(\vec{k}+\vec{k}') \}$$

 $P_{sg}(k) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \{ c_{k\uparrow}^{+} c_{-k\downarrow}^{+} - c_{k\downarrow}^{+} c_{-k\uparrow}^{+} \} \qquad P_{tr,z}^{+}(k) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \{ c_{k\uparrow}^{+} c_{-k\downarrow}^{+} + c_{k\downarrow}^{+} c_{-k\uparrow}^{+} \}$   $P_{tr,x}^{+}(k) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \{ -c_{k\uparrow}^{+} c_{-k\uparrow}^{+} + c_{k\downarrow}^{+} c_{-k\downarrow}^{+} \} \qquad P_{tr,y}^{+}(k) = \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} \{ c_{k\uparrow}^{+} c_{-k\uparrow}^{+} + c_{k\downarrow}^{+} c_{-k\downarrow}^{+} \} \qquad 15$ 

#### Why pz-wave?

$$H_{pair}^{tr} = \frac{1}{2V} \sum_{k,k'} \{ V_{tr}(k;k') \sum_{\mu=x,y,z} P_{tr}^{+,\mu}(k) P_{tr}^{\mu}(k') \}$$

$$V_{tr}(\vec{k};\vec{k}') = \frac{1}{2} \{ V_{dplr}(\vec{k}-\vec{k}') - V_{dplr}(\vec{k}+\vec{k}') \}$$

• Take k along z-axis, and set k' close to k.

$$(\vec{k} - \vec{k'}) \perp \hat{z} \qquad (\vec{k} + \vec{k'}) // \hat{z}$$

$$\vec{k} + \vec{k'} \qquad \vec{k} - \vec{k'}$$
$$-\vec{k'}$$

- Set k' close to -k.  $\bigvee V_{tr}(\vec{k};\vec{k}') > 0$
- Dominate partial-wave component  $V_{\mu}(\vec{k};\vec{k}') \sim -\cos\theta_{k}\cos\theta_{k'}$

#### Singlet channel with purely dipolar interaction

k'

17

$$H_{pair}^{sg} = \frac{1}{2V} \sum_{k,k'} \{ V_{sg}(k;k') \sum_{\mu=x,y,z} P_{sg}^{+,\mu}(k) P_{tri}^{\mu}(k') \}$$
$$V_{sg}(\vec{k};\vec{k}') = \frac{1}{2} \{ V_{dplr}(\vec{k}-\vec{k}') + V_{dplr}(\vec{k}+\vec{k}') \}$$

• k in the xy-plane, and set k' close to k.



• k in the xy-plane, and set k' //z

$$\theta_{k+k'} = \theta_{k-k'} = \frac{\pi}{4} \quad V_{sg}(\vec{k}; \vec{k'}) = \frac{2\pi d^2}{3} > 0$$

• Sign changed  $\rightarrow$  mostly d-wave, hybridized by s-wave due to anisotropy. Weaker than the pz-wave channel.

Two-component electric dipolar fermions

$$\begin{split} \Psi(\vec{k}) &= [c_{\uparrow}(\vec{k}), c_{\downarrow}(\vec{k}), c_{\uparrow}^{\dagger}(-\vec{k}), c_{\downarrow}^{\dagger}(-\vec{k})]^{T}. \\ H_{mf} &= \sum_{\vec{k}}' \Psi^{\dagger}(\vec{k}) \begin{pmatrix} \xi(\vec{k})I & \Delta_{\alpha\beta}(\vec{k}) \\ \Delta_{\beta\alpha}^{*}(\vec{k}) &- \xi(\vec{k})I \end{pmatrix} \Psi(\vec{k}), \end{split}$$

$$\Delta_{\alpha\beta}(k) = \Delta_{si}\phi^{s+d}(\Omega_k)i\sigma^{y}_{\alpha\beta} + \Delta^{\mu}_{tri}\phi^{z}(\Omega_k)i(\sigma^{\mu}\sigma^{y})_{\alpha\beta}$$

• Gap function equations.

$$\Delta_{tri,\mu;si}(k) = -\int \frac{d^{3}k}{(2\pi)^{3}} V_{tri,si}(k;k') \{\frac{\tanh\frac{\beta E_{k'}}{2}}{2E_{k'}} - \frac{1}{2\varepsilon_{k'}}\} \Delta_{tri,\mu;si}(k')$$

#### Two-component electric dipolar fermions

• Linearized gap function equation, and partial wave analysis.

$$\frac{N_0}{4\pi}V_{tri,si}(k;k') = \sum_{ll';m}V_{ll';m}Y_{lm}^*(\Omega_k)Y_{l'm}(\Omega_{k'}) \qquad \begin{array}{ll} l'=l,l\pm 2 \text{ even for singlet} \\ \text{pairing; odd for triplet pairing} \end{array}$$

$$T_{c}^{j} = \frac{2e^{\gamma}}{\pi} \overline{\omega} \exp(-1/|w_{tri,si}^{j}|)$$

 $w^{i}$ : the most negative eigenvalue of  $V_{ll';m}$ 

Baranov et al, PRA 66, 013606 (2002).

#### Dominant channels: triplet $p_z$ and singlet $s + d_{z^2}$



• Singlet channel: hybridized s+d. Sensitive to short-range interactions.

$$\phi^{s+d}(\Omega_k) \approx 0.6Y_{00} - 0.8Y_{20}; \quad w^{s+d}_{si} = -1.95\lambda \text{ at } V_0 = 0$$

#### Dominant channels: triplet $p_z$ and singlet $s + d_{r^2-3r^2}$

• Mixing between triplet and singlet pairing V<sub>0</sub>/ $\lambda$ =-3. Weak coupling theory selects unitary paring  $\rightarrow$  phase difference= $\pi/2$ .

$$\Delta_{\alpha\beta}(k) = \Delta_{sg} \phi^{s+d} (\Omega_k) i \sigma_{\alpha\beta}^y + \Delta_{tri}^{\mu} \phi^z (\Omega_k) i (\sigma^{\mu} \sigma^{\nu})_{\alpha\beta}$$
  
= 
$$\begin{bmatrix} (\Delta_{tr}^x + i \Delta_{tr}^y) \phi^{s+d} & \Delta_{sg} \phi^{s+d} + \Delta_{tr}^z \phi^{s+d} \\ -\Delta_{sg} \phi^{s+d} + \Delta_{tr}^z \phi^{s+d} & (-\Delta_{tr}^x + i \Delta_{tr}^y) \phi^{s+d} \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{iff} \quad \theta_t - \theta_{sg} = \pm \frac{\pi}{2}, \quad \Delta^+(k) \Delta(k) \propto I$$

• A pairing state breaking time-reversal symmetry!

$$p_{z}(|k_{\uparrow}-k_{\downarrow}\rangle+|k_{\downarrow}-k_{\uparrow}\rangle)+i(s+d_{r^{2}-3z^{2}})(|k_{\uparrow}-k_{\downarrow}\rangle-|k_{\downarrow}-k_{\uparrow}\rangle)$$
$$=[p_{z}+i(s+d_{r^{2}-3z^{2}})]|k_{\uparrow}-k_{\downarrow}\rangle+[p_{z}-i(s+d_{r^{2}-3z^{2}})]|k_{\downarrow}-k_{\uparrow}\rangle$$

• Generalization to n-components – C. Wu and J. E. Hirsch, PRB 81, 20508 (2010).

## Outline

- Difference between electric and magnetic dipolar interactions.
- Multi-component electric dipolar fermions: competition between triplet and singlet pairings.

Mixture between p-wave triplet and s+d single parings  $\rightarrow$ Time-reversal symmetry breaking

• Magnetic dipolar fermion systems: p-wave spin triplet with total angular momentum 1(L=S=J=1)

A new pairing symmetry, not another He3.

• Fermi liquid: a "topological" collective mode of Fermi surface oscillation.

## Spin-orbit (SO) coupled nature of the magnetic dipolar interaction

- Un-polarized magnetic dipolar fermions our interest!
- Isotropy: invariant under simultaneous **SO rotation.**

$$V(\vec{r}) = \frac{(g\mu)^2}{r^3} [\vec{F}_1 \cdot \vec{F}_2 - 3(\vec{F}_1 \cdot \hat{r})(\vec{F}_2 \cdot \hat{r})]$$

- SO coupling at the interaction level, but not the single particle level!
- 1. SO coupled p-wave triplet unconventional cooper pairing,
- 2. SO coupled Fermi liquid collective excitations.



#### Spin-1/2 magnetic dipolar interaction

• The magnetic dipolar interaction vanishes in the total spin singlet channel  $V_{\alpha\beta;\beta'\alpha'}\chi_{singlet} = 0$ . Here only exists spin triplet pairing in odd orbital partial wave channels.

$$[\vec{F}_{1} \cdot \vec{F}_{2} - 3(\vec{F}_{1} \cdot \hat{r})(\vec{F}_{2} \cdot \hat{r})] |\uparrow_{1} \downarrow_{2} - \downarrow_{2} \uparrow_{1} \rangle$$
$$= [-\frac{3}{4} - (-\frac{3}{4})] |\uparrow_{1} \downarrow_{2} - \downarrow_{2} \uparrow_{1} \rangle = 0$$

## **Two-body Picture (I): p-wave spin triplet**

• Consider a two-body problem with fixed inter particle radius d.



Consider L=1 channel and fix the distance between two particles: Total angular Interaction momentum Energy S = 1L = 1 $J = 0 \rightarrow + Edp$  $J = 1 \rightarrow -1/2 Edp$  $J = 2 \rightarrow +1/10 Edp$ J-triplet channel gives bound states!

## **Two-body picture (II): spin Configurations**



#### Momentum space partial-wave analysis (weak coupling)

$$H_{pair} = \frac{1}{2V} \sum_{k,k'} \{ V_{\alpha\beta;\beta'\alpha'}(k;k') P_{\alpha\beta}^{+}(k) P_{\beta'\alpha'}(k') \} \qquad \lambda = \frac{E_{int}}{E_F} = \frac{2}{3} \frac{\mu^2 m k_f}{\pi^2 \hbar^2}$$

$$V_{\alpha\beta;\beta'\alpha'}(\vec{q}) = \frac{4\pi}{3} \mu^2 [3(\vec{S}_{\alpha\alpha'} \cdot \hat{q})(\vec{S}_{\beta\beta'} \cdot \hat{q}) - \vec{S}_{\alpha\alpha'} \cdot \vec{S}_{\beta\beta'})]$$

• Pairing wave analysis shows the same J-triplet pairing: L=S=J=1.

• cf. He-3 B L=S=1, J=0

He-3 A L=S=1, J is not conserved.

$$S=1 \quad J \quad \begin{array}{c} Pairing \\ Eigenvalues \\ 0 \quad \rightarrow +6 \ \pi \lambda \\ 1 \quad \rightarrow -3 \ \pi \lambda \\ 2 \\ -2 \\ -3 \quad 3 \\ 4 \\ -2 \\ -4 \\ -2 \\ -3 \quad -3 \ mall \\ numbers \end{array}$$

#### Polar v.s. axial pairing

• Competition among the J-triplet sector: polar (j<sub>z</sub>=0) v.s axial (j<sub>z</sub>=1) pairings  $\vec{\Delta} = (\Delta_x, \Delta_y, \Delta_z)$ .

$$F_{GL} = \alpha \vec{\Delta}^* \cdot \vec{\Delta} + \gamma_1 |\vec{\Delta}^* \cdot \vec{\Delta}|^2 + \gamma_2 |\vec{\Delta}^* \times \vec{\Delta}|^2$$

 $\gamma_2 > 0 \Rightarrow \text{Re}\vec{\Delta}//\text{Im}\vec{\Delta}$  polar pairing state: TR symmetry maintained

 $\gamma_2 < 0 \Rightarrow \operatorname{Re}\vec{\Delta} \perp \operatorname{Im}\vec{\Delta}$  axial pairing state: TR symmetry broken

#### <u>Helical polar pairing (J=1;Jz=0) v.s. Axial pairing (J=Jz=1)</u>

- Helical polar pairing: TR invariant version of He-3 A phase.
- Unitary pairing.

$$\Delta_{\alpha\beta} \propto \left[ (k_{y}\sigma_{1} - k_{x}\sigma_{2})i\sigma_{2} \right]_{\alpha\beta} \qquad \qquad \Delta^{+}\Delta \propto I$$

$$\propto \left[ -(\hat{k}_{y} + i\hat{k}_{x}) & 0 \\ 0 & \hat{k}_{y} - i\hat{k}_{x} \right] \qquad \qquad \downarrow \downarrow \downarrow$$

$$E_{\alpha}^{pl} (\mathbf{k}) = \sqrt{\xi_{k}^{2} + \frac{1}{4}} |\Delta|^{2} \sin \theta_{k}^{2}$$

#### <u>Helical polar pairing (J=1;Jz=0) v.s. Axial pairing (J=Jz=1)</u>

• Axial pairing: non-unitary pairing, TR symmetry breaking.

$$\Delta_{\alpha\beta} \propto \left[ (k_z (\sigma_x + i\sigma_y) - \sigma_z (k_x + ik_y)) i\sigma_z \right]_{\alpha\beta}$$
$$\propto \begin{bmatrix} \hat{k}_z & \frac{1}{2} (\hat{k}_x + i\hat{k}_y) \\ \frac{1}{2} (\hat{k}_x + i\hat{k}_y) & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\Delta \Delta^{+} = |\Delta|^{2} \left(\frac{k_{z}^{2} + k^{2}}{2} + k_{z}(\vec{k} \cdot \vec{\sigma})\right)$$
$$\Delta^{+} \Delta = (\Delta \Delta^{+})^{*}$$

$$k_z$$
  $k_x$   $k_x$ 

k~

$$H^{2} = \begin{pmatrix} (\mathcal{E}_{k} - \mu)^{2} + \Delta \Delta^{+}, & 0 \\ 0, & (\mathcal{E}_{-k} - \mu)^{2} + \Delta^{+} \Delta \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\lambda^{2}_{1,2} \propto (k \pm k_{z})^{2} \propto (1 \pm \cos \theta_{k})^{2}$$

$$E_{1,2}^{ax}(\mathbf{k}) = \sqrt{\xi_k^2 + \frac{1}{8} |\Delta|^2 (1 \pm \cos \theta_k)^2}$$
30

#### <u>Helical polar pairing (J=1;Jz=0) v.s. Axial pairing (J=Jz=1)</u>

• Reduced 2\*2 Hamiltonians for the gapless branch around the south pole.

$$\psi_{A} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2k(k-k_{z})}} \begin{pmatrix} k_{x} - ik_{y} \\ k - k_{z} \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \psi_{B} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2k(k-k_{z})}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ k_{x} + ik_{y} \\ k - k_{z} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$H = \begin{bmatrix} v_f(k - k_f) & \frac{\sqrt{2}\Delta}{2k_f}(k_x + ik_y)^2 \\ \frac{\sqrt{2}\Delta}{2k_f}(k_x - ik_y)^2 & -v_f(k - k_f) \end{bmatrix}$$

• Weyl type fermion with winder number 2.

## Which one is better? Polar pairing wins (BCS)!

• Polar pairing gap functions are more uniformly distributed over the Fermi surface. c.f. the competition of He3-B v.s. He3-A.



• We have numerically confirmed that polar pairing state has lower free energy at the BCS level.

• Strong coupling physics could stabilize the axial pairing. This possibility should not be ruled out!

## Outline

- Difference between electric and magnetic dipolar interactions.
- Multi-component electric dipolar fermions: competition between triplet and singlet pairings.

Mixture between p-wave triplet and s+d single parings  $\rightarrow$ Time-reversal symmetry breaking

• Magnetic dipolar fermion systems: p-wave spin triplet with total angular momentum 1 (L=S=J=1)

A new pairing symmetry, not another He3.

• Fermi liquid: a "topological" collective mode of Fermi surface oscillation.

## Landau Fermi liquid (FL) theory -- no SO coupling



L. Landau



The existence of Fermi surface. Electrons close to Fermi surfaces are important → renormalized into quasi-particles.

• Interaction functions (no SO coupling):

 $f_{\alpha\beta,\gamma\delta}(\hat{p}_{1},\hat{p}_{2}) = f^{s}(\hat{p}_{1},\hat{p}_{2}) \qquad \text{density} \\ + f^{a}(\hat{p}_{1},\hat{p}_{2})\vec{\sigma}_{\alpha\beta}\cdot\vec{\sigma}_{\gamma\delta} \qquad \text{spin}$ 

• Landau parameter in the *I*-th partial wave channel:

$$F_l^{s,a} = N_0 f_l^{s,a} \quad N_0 : \text{DOS}$$

## Pomeranchuk instability criterion



- Fermi surface: elastic membrane.
- Stability:  $\Delta E_{\kappa} \propto (\delta n_{l}^{s,a})^{2}$

$$\Delta E_{\rm int} \propto \frac{F_l^{s,a}}{2l+1} (\delta n_l^{s,a})^2$$

• Surface tension vanishes at:

I. Pomeranchuk



$$F_l^{s,a} < -(2l+1)$$

- Ferromagnetism: the  $F_0^a$  channel.
- Nematic electron liquid: the  $F_2^s$  channel.

• Unconventional magnetism:  $F_l^a$   $(l \ge 1)$ 

## Spin-orbit coupled Fermi liquid theory

• Landau interaction functions: spin-orbit coupled partial-wave decomposition.

$$\frac{N_0}{4\pi} f_{\alpha\alpha',\beta\beta'}(\hat{k}_1,\hat{k}_2) = \sum_{JJ_z LL'} Y_{JJ_z;LS}(\hat{k}_1,\alpha\alpha') F_{JJ_z LS;JJ_z L'S} Y^+_{JJ_z;L'S}(\hat{k}_2,\beta\beta')$$

- $n_{\alpha\alpha'}(\hat{k}_1) \qquad n_{\beta\beta'}(\hat{k}_2)$   $\vec{k}_1 \qquad \vec{k}_2$
- Landau interaction matrices:  $F_{JJ_zLS;JJ_zL'S}$
- Pomeranchuk instabilities.
- Criterion: there exists an eigenvalue of F <-1.

## Pomeranchuk instability from magnetic dipolar interactions

• Leading channel:  $J = 1, J_z = \pm 1$ , (even parity). Ferromagnetism with small hybridization with the ferronematic channel.

Fregoso et al PRL 2010.

• Sub-leading channel: J=1,  $J_z=0$ , (odd parity). Transformation of SO coupling from the interaction level to the single particle level (Rashba like).

$$H_{so}(k) \propto k_x \sigma_y - k_y \sigma_x$$

Yi Li and Congjun Wu, Phys. Rev. B 85, 205126 (2012). Sogo et al, PRA 2012.

## **Topological zero-sound like collective modes**

Spin-orbit coupled Fermi surface oscillations.
 u<sub>2</sub>: hedgehog distri; u<sub>1</sub>: longitudinal ferro. u2>u1.

$$\vec{s}(\vec{r},\vec{k},t) = \begin{pmatrix} u_{2}\sin\theta_{\vec{k}}\cos\phi_{\vec{k}} \\ u_{2}\sin\theta_{\vec{k}}\sin\phi_{\vec{k}} \\ u_{2}\cos\phi_{\vec{k}}+u_{1} \end{pmatrix} e^{ik(z-sv_{f}t)} \begin{bmatrix} u_{k_{z}/k_{F}} \\ u_{2}\cos\phi_{\vec{k}}+u_{1} \end{bmatrix} e^{ik(z-sv_{f}t)} \begin{bmatrix} u_{z}/k_{F} \\ u_{z}/k_{F} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} u_{z}/k_{F}/k_{F} \\ u_{z}/k_{F}/k_{F} \end{bmatrix} e^{ik(z-sv_{f}t)} \begin{bmatrix} u_{z}/k_{F}/k_{F} \\ u_{z}/k_{F}/k_{F} \end{bmatrix} e^{ik(z-sv_{f}t)} \begin{bmatrix} u_{z}/k_{F}/k_{F} \\ u_{z}/k_{F}/k_{F} \end{bmatrix} e^{ik(z-sv_{f}t)} e^{ik(z-sv_{f}t)}$$

Sound velocity > Fermi velocity, under-damped

$$s_{\lambda \ll 1} \approx 1 + 2e^{-2(1+1/2F_+)} = 1 + 2e^{-2-12/7\pi\lambda}, \qquad s_{\lambda \gg 1} \approx \frac{F_{\times}}{3} = \frac{\pi}{3\sqrt{3}}\lambda.$$

## <u>Summary</u>

• Magnetic dipole moment is quantum, while the electric one is classic.

• Magnetic dipolar interaction manifests spin-orbit coupled manybody physics.

• A novel spin-orbit coupled p-wave Cooper pairing is discovered, giving rise to total angular momentum J=1, which is NOT He-3 A and B.

Yi Li and Congjun Wu, Scientific Report 2, 392 (2012).

• Spin-orbit coupled Fermi liquid theory. An exotic spin-orbit coupled collective mode shows non-trivial spin configuration.

Yi Li and Congjun Wu, Phys. Rev. B 85, 205126 (2012).